arXiv:0906.3441v1 [math.FA] 18 Jun 2009

B-FREDHOLM AND DRAZIN INVERTIBLE OPERATORS THROUGH LOCALIZED SVEP

M. AMOUCH AND H. ZGUITTI

ABSTRACT. Let X a Banach space and T a bounded linear operator on X. We denote by $S(T)$ the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that T does not have the single-valued extension property at λ. In this note we prove equality up to $S(T)$ between the left Drazin spectrum and the left B-Fredholm spectrum and between the semi-essential approximate point spectrum and the left Drazin spectrum. As applications we investigate generalized Weyl's theorem for operator matrices and multipliers operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, X and Y are Banach spaces and let $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ denote the space of all bounded linear operators from X to Y. For $Y = X$ we write $\mathcal{B}(X,Y) = \mathcal{B}(X)$. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, let T^*, $N(T)$, $R(T)$, $\sigma(T)$, $\sigma_s(T)$, $\sigma_p(T)$ and $\sigma_a(T)$ denote the adjoint, the null space, the range, the spectrum, the surjective spectrum, the point spectrum and the approximate point spectrum of T respectively. Let $\alpha(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ be the nullity and the deficiency of T defined by $\alpha(T) = \dim N(T)$, and $\beta(T) = \text{codim}R(T)$. If the range $R(T)$ of T is closed and $\alpha(T) < \infty$ (resp. $\beta(T) < \infty$), then T is called an *upper* (resp. a *lower*) *semi-Fredholm* operator. In the sequel $SF_+(X)$ (resp. $SF_-(X)$) will denote the set of all upper (resp. lower) semi-Fredholm operators. If $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is either upper or lower semi-Fredholm, then T is called a *semi-Fredholm* operator, and the *index* of T is defined by $\text{ind}(T) = \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$. If both $\alpha(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ are finite, then T is a called a *Fredholm* operator. An operator T is called *Weyl* if it is Fredholm of index zero. The Weyl spectrum $\sigma_w(T)$is defined by $\sigma_w(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not Weyl}\}$.

For $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and a nonnegative integer n define $T_{[n]}$ to be the restriction of T to $R(T^n)$ viewed as a map from $R(T^n)$ into $R(T^n)$ (in particular $T_{[0]} = T$). If for some integer n the range space $R(T^n)$ is closed and $T_{[n]}$ is an upper (resp. a lower) semi-Fredholm operator, then T is called an *upper* (resp. a *lower*) *semi-B-Fredholm* operator. In this case the *index* of T is defined to be the index of the semi-Fredholm operator $T_{[n]}$. Moreover if $T_{[n]}$ is a Fredholm operator, then T is called a *B-Fredholm* operator. A *semi-B-Fredholm* operator is an upper or a lower semi-B-Fredholm operator ([6, 8, 13]. The *upper semi-B-Fredholm spectrum* $\sigma_{lBF}(T)$, *lower semi-B-Fredholm spectrum* $\sigma_{rBF}(T)$ and the *B-Fredholm spectrum* $\sigma_{BF}(T)$ of T are defined by

$$\sigma_{lBF}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not a semi-B-Fredholm operator}\},$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A53, 47A55; secondary 47A10, 47A11.

Key words and phrases. B-Fredholm operator, Drazin invertible operator, single-valued extension property.

$$\sigma_{rBF}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not a semi-B-Fredholm operator}\},$$

$$\sigma_{BF}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not a B-Fredholm operator }\}.$$

We have

$$\sigma_{BF}(T) = \sigma_{lBF}(T) \cup \sigma_{rBF}(T).$$

An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is said to be a *B-Weyl* operator if it is a B-Fredholm operator of index zero. The *B-Weyl spectrum* $\sigma_{BW}(T)$ of T is defined by

$$\sigma_{BW}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not a B-Weyl operator}\}.$$

From [8, Lemma 4.1], T is a B-Weyl operator if and only if $T = F \oplus N$, where F is a Fredholm operator of index zero and N is a nilpotent operator.

We shall denote by $SBF_+^-(X)$ (resp. $SBF_-^+(X)$) the class of all T upper semi-B-Fredholm operators (resp. T lower semi-B-Fredholm operators) such that $\text{ind}(T) \leq 0$ (resp. $\text{ind}(T) \geq 0$). The spectrum associated to $SBF_+^-(X)$ is called the *semi-essential approximate point spectrum* and it is noted $\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T-\lambda \notin SBF_+^-(X)\}$. While the spectrum associated to $SBF_-^+(X)$ is noted $\sigma_{SBF_-^+}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \notin SBF_-^+(X)\}$.

The *ascent* $a(T)$ and the *descent* $d(T)$ of T are given by $a(T) = \inf\{n : N(T^n) = N(T^{n+1})\}, d(T) = \inf\{n : R(T^n) = R(T^{n+1})\}$, with $\inf \emptyset = \infty$. It is well-known that if $a(T)$ and $d(T)$ are both finite then they are equal, see [16, Proposition 38.3].

Recall that an operator T is *Drazin invertible* if it has a finite ascent and descent. It is well known that T is Drazin invertible if and only if $T = R \oplus N$ where R is invertible and N is nilpotent (see [20, Corollary 2.2]). The Drazin spectrum is defined by $\sigma_D(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not Drazin invertible}\}$. From [8, Lemma 4.1] and [20, Corollary 2.2], we have

$$\sigma_{BW}(T) \subseteq \sigma_D(T).$$

Define the set $LD(X)$ as follow :

$$LD(X) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : a(T) < \infty \text{ and } R(T^{a(T)+1}) \text{ is closed}\}.$$

From [21], $LD(X)$ is a regularity and it is the dual version of the regularity $RD(X) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : d(T) < \infty \text{ and } R(T^{d(T)}) \text{ is closed}\}$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be *left* (resp. *right*) *Drazin invertible* if $T \in LD(X)$ (resp. $T \in RD(X)$). The *left Drazin spectrum* $\sigma_{lD}(T)$ and the *right Drazin spectrum* $\sigma_{rD}(T)$ are defined by

$$\sigma_{lD}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \notin LD(X)\} \text{ and } \sigma_{rD}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \notin RD(X)\}.$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$\sigma_D(T) = \sigma_{lD}(T) \cup \sigma_{rD}(T).$$

2. Preliminaries results

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ has the single-valued extension property at $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, SVEP at λ_0, if for every open disc D_{λ_0} centered at λ_0 the only analytic function $f : D_{\lambda_0} \longrightarrow X$ which satisfies $(T - \lambda)f(\lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in D_{\lambda_0}$ is the function $f \equiv 0$. Trivially, every operator T has SVEP at pint of the resolvent; also T has SVEP at $\lambda \in iso\,\sigma(T)$. We say that T has SVEP if it has SVEP at every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, [15].

We denote by $\mathcal{S}(T)$ the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that T does not have the single-valued extension property at λ. Note that (see [15, 19])

$$\mathcal{S}(T) \subseteq \sigma_p(T) \text{ and } \sigma(T) = \mathcal{S}(T) \cup \sigma_s(T). \tag{2.1}$$

In particular, if T (resp. T^*) has the SVEP then $\sigma(T) = \sigma_s(T)$ (resp. $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T)$).

Since the ascent implies the SVEP ([18]) then we have

$$\mathcal{S}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{lD}(T) \text{ and } \mathcal{S}(T^*) \subseteq \sigma_{rD}(T).$$

In the following theorem, we prove equality up to $\mathcal{S}(T)$ between the left Drazin spectrum and the left B-Fredholm spectrum.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then*

$$\sigma_{lD}(T) = \sigma_{lBF}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T).$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \notin \sigma_{lD}(T)$, then $R((T-\lambda)^{a(T-\lambda)+1})$ is closed. Hence $R((T-\lambda)^{a(T-\lambda)})$ is closed by [21, Lemma 12]. Let $x \in N((T-\lambda)_{[a(T-\lambda)]})$ then $x \in N(T-\lambda) \cap R((T-\lambda)^{a(T-\lambda)})$. Hence $x = (T-\lambda)^{a(T-\lambda)}y$ for some $y \in X$. Hence $0 = (T-\lambda)x = (T-\lambda)^{a(T-\lambda)+1}y$. Thus $y \in N((T-\lambda)^{a(T-\lambda)+1}) = N((T-\lambda)^{a(T-\lambda)})$. Therefore $x = 0$ and hence $(T-\lambda)_{[a(T-\lambda)]}$ is injective. On the other hand, $R((T-\lambda)_{[a(T-\lambda)]}) = R((T-\lambda)^{a(T-\lambda)+1})$ is closed. Thus $(T-\lambda)_{[a(T-\lambda)]}$ is upper semi-Fredholm and hence $\lambda \notin \sigma_{lBF}(T)$. Since we have $\mathcal{S}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{lD}(T)$ then

$$\sigma_{lBF}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{lD}(T).$$

Now let $\lambda \notin [\sigma_{lBF}(T) \cup (\mathcal{S}(T)]$, then T has the SVEP at λ and $T-\lambda$ is upper semi-B-Fredholm operator. Hence it follows from [7, Proposition 3.2] that there exist n such that $R((T-\lambda)^n)$ is closed and $(T-\lambda)_{[n]}$ is semi regular. Since $(T-\lambda)_{[n]}$ has also the SVEP at 0, then from [1, Theorem 3.14], we conclude that $(T-\lambda)_{[n]}$ is injective with closed range. Let $x \in N(T-\lambda)^{n+1}$, then $(T-\lambda)(T-\lambda)^n x = 0$. Hence $(T-\lambda)^n x \in N(T-\lambda) \cap R(T-\lambda)^n = N((T-\lambda)_{[n]}) = \{0\}$. Thus $x \in N(T-\lambda)^n$, and hence $N(T-\lambda)^n = N(T-\lambda)^{n+1}$. So $T-\lambda$ is of finite ascent and $a(T-\lambda) \leq n$. We have $R((T-\lambda))_{[n]} \subseteq N(T-\lambda)^{n+1}$ with $a(T-\lambda)+1 \leq n+1$. Therefore $R(T-\lambda)^{a(T-\lambda)+1}$ is closed. Thus $T-\lambda$ is left Drazin invertible. Hence $\sigma_{lD}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{lBF}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T)$. □

Corollary 2.1. *If $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ has the SVEP then*

$$\sigma_{lD}(T) = \sigma_{lBF}(T).$$

By duality we get a similarly result for the right Drazin spectrum.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then*

$$\sigma_{rD}(T) = \sigma_{rBF}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T^*).$$

Proof. Since $\sigma_{rBF}(T) = \sigma_{lBF}(T^*)$ and $\sigma_{rD}(T) = \sigma_{lD}(T^*)$. We conclude by Theorem 2.1. □

Corollary 2.2. *If $T^* \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ has the SVEP then*

$$\sigma_{rD}(T) = \sigma_{rBF}(T).$$

From Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then*

$$\sigma_D(T) = \sigma_{BF}(T) \cup [\mathcal{S}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T^*)].$$

Corollary 2.4. *If T and T^* have the SVEP then*

$$\sigma_D(T) = \sigma_{BF}(T).$$

In the following theorem, we prove equality up to $\mathcal{S}(T)$ between the left Drazin spectrum and the semi-essential approximate point spectrum.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ where H is a Hilbert space. Then*

$$\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T) = \sigma_{lD}(T).$$

Proof. From [13, Lemma 2.12] we have $\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{lD}(T)$ and since $\mathcal{S}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{lD}(T)$. Then

$$\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{lD}(T).$$

Now let $\lambda \in \sigma_{lD}(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$. We can assume that $\lambda = 0$, then T is an upper semi-B-Fredholm, $\text{ind}(T) \leq 0$ and T is not left Drazin invertible. Hence $a(T) = \infty$ or $R(T^{a(T)+1})$ is not closed. If $a(T)$ is finite, then necessarily $R(T^{a(T)+1})$ is closed since T is upper semi-B-Fredholm. So $a(T) = \infty$. Also from [13] $T = F \oplus N$ where F is an upper semi-Fredholm operator and N is a nilpotent operator. Since N is nilpotent and $a(T) = \infty$, then $a(F) = \infty$. Now it follows from [1] that F does not have the SVEP at 0, and then nor T. □

Corollary 2.5. *If $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ has the SVEP then*

$$\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \sigma_{lD}(T).$$

By duality we have the following result :

Theorem 2.4. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then*

$$\sigma_{SBF_-^+}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T^*) = \sigma_{rD}(T).$$

Proof. Since $\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T^*) = \sigma_{SBF_-^+}(T)$ and $\sigma_{lD}(T^*) = \sigma_{rD}(T)$. We conclude by Theorem 2.3. □

Corollary 2.6. *If $T^* \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ has the SVEP then*

$$\sigma_{SBF_-^+}(T) = \sigma_{rD}(T).$$

From Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, it is not hard to see that

$$\sigma_D(T) = \sigma_{BW}(T) \cup [\mathcal{S}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T^*)].$$

In fact, more can be said in the Banach setting

Theorem 2.5. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ then*

$$\sigma_D(T) = \sigma_{BW}(T) \cup [\mathcal{S}(T) \cap \mathcal{S}(T^*)].$$

Proof. Since $\sigma_{BW}(T) \cup (\mathcal{S}(T) \cap \mathcal{S}(T^*)) \subseteq \sigma_D(T)$ always holds, then let $\lambda \notin \sigma_{BW}(T) \cup (\mathcal{S}(T) \cap \mathcal{S}(T^*))$. Without loss of generality we assume that $\lambda = 0$. Then T is a B-Fredholm operator of index zero.

Case.1 If $0 \notin \mathcal{S}(T)$: Since T is a B-Fredholm operator of index zero, then it follows from [8, Lemma 4.1] that there exists a Fredholm operator F of index zero and a nilpotent operator N such that $T = F \oplus N$. If $0 \notin \sigma(F)$, then F is invertible

and hence T is Drazin invertible. Now assume that $0 \in \sigma(F)$. Since T has the SVEP at 0, then F has also the SVEP at 0. Hence it follows from [1, Theorem 3.16] that $a(F)$ is finite. F is a Fredholm operator of index zero, then it follows from [1, Theorem 3.4] that $a(F)$ is also finite. Then $a(F) = d(F) < \infty$ which implies that 0 is a pole of F and hence an isolated point of $\sigma(F)$. N is nilpotent, then 0 is isolated point of $\sigma(T)$. From [8, Theorem 4.2] we get $0 \notin \sigma_D(T)$. *Case.2* If $0 \notin \mathcal{S}(T^*)$, then proof goes similarly. □

Corollary 2.7. [12] *If T or T^* has the SVEP then*

$$\sigma_D(T) = \sigma_{BW}(T).$$

Recall that T is a *Browder* operator if T is a Fredholm of finite ascent and descent. Let $\sigma_B(T)$ be the *Browder spectrum* defined as the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $T-\lambda$ is not Browder. Analogously, T is *B-Browder* operator if for some integer n, $R(T-\lambda)^n$ is closed and $(T-\lambda)_{[n]}$ is Browder and let $\sigma_{BB}(T)$ be the *B-Browder spectrum*. In [1, Corollary 3.53] it is proved that if T or T^* has the SVEP, then

$$\sigma_W(T) = \sigma_B(T).$$

From [7, Theorem 3.6] $\sigma_D(T) = \sigma_{BB}(T)$, then by Corollary 2.7, if T or T^* has the SVEP then

$$\sigma_{BW}(T) = \sigma_{BB}(T).$$

Theorem 2.6. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and f be an analytic function on some open neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$ which is nonconstant on any connected component of $\sigma(T)$ then*

$$\sigma_{BW}(f(T)) \cup [\mathcal{S}(f(T)) \cap \mathcal{S}(f(T^*))] = f(\sigma_{BW}(T) \cup [\mathcal{S}(T) \cap \mathcal{S}(T^*)])$$

Proof. Since the Drazin spectrum satisfies the spectral mapping theorem for every analytic function f on some open neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$ which is nonconstant on any connected component of $\sigma(T)$, then the result follows at once from Theorem 2.5. □

It is well known that if T has the SVEP then $f(T)$ has also the SVEP [19]. Now we retrieve the result proved in [2, 23] : $f(\sigma_{BW}(T)) = \sigma_{BW}(f(T))$ whenever T or T^* has the SVEP. Note that in [2, 23] the condition " f is nonconstant on any connected component of $\sigma(T)$" is dropped.

3. Applications

3.1. **Perturbations.**

Lemma 3.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Let $N \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be a nilpotent operator such that $TN = NT$. Then*

$$\mathcal{S}(T+N) = \mathcal{S}(T).$$

Proof. See for instance [5, Lemma 2.1] □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. If $N \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is a nilpotent operator which commutes with T. Then*

$$\sigma_{lD}(T+N) = \sigma_{lD}(T).$$

Proof. Assume that $\lambda = 0 \notin \sigma_{LD}(T)$. Then $a(T)$ is finite and $R(T^{a(T)+1})$ is closed. Let m be the nonnegative integer such that $N^m = 0 \neq N^{m-1}$. Let $s = \max(a(T), m)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(T+N)^{2s} &= \Sigma_{k=0}^{2s}\tbinom{2s}{k}T^kN^{2s-k}\\ &= \tbinom{2s}{0}N^{2s} + \cdots + \tbinom{2s}{s}T^sN^s + \tbinom{2s}{s+1}T^{s+1}N^{s-1} + \cdots + \tbinom{2s}{2s}T^{2s}\\ &= \tbinom{2s}{s+1}T^{s+1}N^{s-1} + \cdots + \tbinom{2s}{2s}T^{2s}\\ &= T^s[\tbinom{2s}{s+1}T^1N^{s-1} + \cdots + \tbinom{2s}{2s}T^s].\end{aligned}$$

Now Let $x \in N(T)^{2s} = N(T)^s$ that is $(T)^{2s}x = 0$. Then it follows form the above equality that $(T+N)^{2s}x = 0$. Hence $N(T)^{2s} \subseteq N(T+N)^{2s}$. With the same argument for $T+N$ and $-N$ we have $N(T+N)^{2s} \subseteq N(T)^{2s}$. Thus $N(T)^{2s} = N(T+N)^{2s}$. Since $N(T^s) = N(T^{2s}) = N(T^{2s+1})$,we get $N(T+N)^{2s} = N(T+N)^{2s+1}$. Therefore $T+N$ is of finite ascent. In the other hand $R(T+N)^{2s} \subseteq R(T^s)$ is closed. Hence by [21, Lemma 12] $R(T+N)^{2s+1}$ is closed. Thus $0 \notin \sigma_{lD}(T+N)$. □

The following result follows from Theorem 2.3, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2

Theorem 3.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Let $N \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be a nilpotent operator which commutes with T. Then*

$$\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T+N) \cup \mathcal{S}(T) = \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T).$$

The following corollary which is proved in [3] gives an affirmative answer for the question posed by Berkani-Amouch [9] in the case where T has the SVEP.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ have the SVEP. Let $N \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be a nilpotent operator which commutes with T. Then*

$$\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T+N) = \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T).$$

3.2. **Generalized Weyl's theorem for operator matrices.** Berkani [8, Theorem 4.5] has shown that every normal operator T acting on Hilbert space H satisfies

$$\sigma(T) \setminus E(T) = \sigma_{BW}(T), \tag{3.2}$$

where $E(T)$ is the set of all isolated eigenvalues of T. We say that *generalized Weyl's theorem* holds for T if Equation (3.2) holds. This gives a generalization of the classical Weyl's theorem. Recall that $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ obeys *Weyl's theorem* if

$$\sigma(T) \setminus E_0(T) = \sigma_W(T), \tag{3.3}$$

where $E_0(T)$ denotes the set of the isolated points of $\sigma(T)$ which are eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. Form [13, Theorem 3.9] generalized Weyl's theorem implies Weyl's theorem and generally the reverse is not true.

For $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ and $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y,X)$ we denote by M_C the operator defined on $X \oplus Y$ by

$$M_C = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}.$$

In general the fact that generalized Weyl's theorem holds for A and B does not imply that generalized Weyl's theorem holds for $M_0 = [\begin{smallmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{smallmatrix}]$. Indeed, Let I_1 and I_2 be the identities on $\mathbb{C}$ and l_2, respectively. Let S_1 and S_2 defined on l_2 by

$$S_1(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = (0, \frac{1}{3}x_1, \frac{1}{3}x_2, \ldots), \quad S_2(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = (0, \frac{1}{2}x_1, \frac{1}{3}x_2, \ldots).$$

Let $T_1 = I_1 \oplus S_1$, $T_2 = S_2 - I_2$, $A = T_1^2$ and $B = T_2^2$, then from [23, Example 1] we have A and B obey generalized Weyl's theorem but M_0 does not obey it. It also may happen that M_C obeys generalized Weyl's theorem while M_0 does not obey it. Let A be the unilateral unweighed shift operator. For $B = A^*$ and $C = I - AA^*$, we have that M_C is unitary without eigenvalues. Hence M_C satisfies generalized Weyl's theorem (see [10, Remark 3.5]). But $\sigma_w(M_0) = \{\lambda : |\lambda| = 1\}$ and $\sigma(M_0) \setminus E_0(M_0) = \{\lambda : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$. Then M_0 does not satisfy Weyl's theorem and so from [13, Theorem 3.9] it does not satisfy generalized Weyls theorem either.

A bounded linear operator T is said to be *isoloid* if every isolated point of $\sigma(T)$ is an eigenvalue of T.

Proposition 3.1. *Let A and B be isoloid. Assume that $\sigma_{BW}(A \oplus B) = \sigma_{BW}(A) \cup \sigma_{BW}(B)$. If A and B obeys generalized Weyl's theorem, then $A \oplus B$ obeys generalized Weyl's theorem.*

Proof. Since A and B are isoloid, then

$$E(A \oplus B) = [E(A) \cap \rho(B)] \cup [\rho(A) \cap E(B)] \cup [E(A) \cap E(B)].$$

Now if A and B obeys generalized Weyl's theorem, then

$$\begin{aligned} E(A \oplus B) &= [\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B)] \setminus [\sigma_{BW}(A) \cup \sigma_{BW}(B)] \\ &= \sigma(A \oplus B) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(A \oplus B). \end{aligned}$$

Then $A \oplus B$ obeys generalized Weyl's theorem. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ have the SVEP, then*

$$\sigma_{BW}(M_C) = \sigma_{BW}(A) \cup \sigma_{BW}(B),$$

for all $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$.

Proof. Since A and B have the SVEP, then it follows from [17, Proposition 3.1] that M_C has also the SVEP. Hence $\sigma_{BW}(M_C) = \sigma_D(M_C)$ by Corollary 2.7. Also since A and B have the SVEP, it follows from [24, Corollary 2.1] that $\sigma_D(M_C) = \sigma_D(A) \cup \sigma_D(B)$. Therefore $\sigma_{BW}(M_C) = \sigma_{BW}(A) \cup \sigma_{BW}(B)$ by Corollary 2.7. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let A and B be isoloid with the SVEP. If A and B obeys generalized Weyl's theorem, then M_C obeys generalized Weyl's theorem for every $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 that

$$E(A \oplus B) = \sigma(A \oplus B) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(A \oplus B) = \sigma(M_C) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(M_C).$$

So it is enough to show that $E(A \oplus B) = E(M_C)$. Let $\lambda \in E(M_C)$. Then $\lambda \in \sigma_p(M_C) \subseteq \sigma_p(A) \cup \sigma_p(B)$. Hence $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A \oplus B)$. Since $\lambda \in iso\,\sigma(M_C) = iso\,\sigma(A \oplus B)$ then $\lambda \in E(A \oplus B)$. Now Let $\lambda \in E(A \oplus B)$. If $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ then $\lambda \in iso\,\sigma(A)$. Since A is isoloid, then $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A) \subseteq \sigma_p(M_C)$. Hence $\lambda \in E(M_C)$. If $\lambda \in \sigma(B) \setminus \sigma(A)$, then $\lambda \in \sigma_p(B)$. Since A is invertible, then $\lambda \in \sigma_p(M_C)$. Thus $\lambda \in E(M_C)$. Therefore $E(A \oplus B) = E(M_C)$. □

Let $\pi(T)$ be the set of all poles of the resolvent of T. Recall from [14] that T is *polaroid* if $iso\,\sigma(T) \subseteq \pi(T)$. Since $\pi(T) \subseteq E(T)$ holds without restriction on T, then if T is polaroid then $E(T) = \pi(T)$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let A and B be polaroid with the SVEP. Then M_C obeys generalized Weyl's theorem for every $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$.*

Proof. A and B are polaroid then $E(A) = \pi(A)$ and $E(B) = \pi(B)$. Since A and B has the SVEP, then by [4], A and B satisfies generalized Weyl's theorem. Hence we conclude by Theorem 3.2. □

3.3. **Multipliers on a commutative Banach algebra.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a semi-simple commutative Banach algebra. A mapping $T : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a *multiplier* if

$$T(x)y = xT(y) \text{ for all } x,\, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

By semi-simplicity of $\mathcal{A}$, every multiplier is a bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{A}$. Also the semi-simplicity of $\mathcal{A}$ implies that every multiplier has the SVEP (see [1, 19]).

From Corollary 2.7 we have

Proposition 3.2. [11] *Let T be a multiplier on semi-simple commutative Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$, then the following assertions are equivalent*

i) *T is B-Fredholm of index zero.*
ii) *T is Drazin invertible.*

From [1, Theorem 4.36], for every multiplier T on semi-simple commutative Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$, $E(T) = \pi(T)$ and since T has the SVEP we get from [4]

Proposition 3.3. *Every multiplier on semi-simple commutative Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ obeys generalized Weyl's thoerem.*

Also from Theorem 2.6

Corollary 3.3. [11] *Let T be a multiplier on semi-simple commutative Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Let f be an analytic function on some open neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$ which is nonconstant on any connected component of $\sigma(T)$ then*

$$\sigma_{BW}(f(T)) = f(\sigma_{BW}(T)).$$

Now if assume in additional that $\mathcal{A}$ is regular and Tauberian (see [19] for definition) then every multiplier T has the weak decomposition property (δ_w) and then T^* has also the SVEP (see [22] for definition and details). Hence we get from Corollary 2.4

Proposition 3.4. *Let T be a multiplier on semi-simple regular Tauberian commutative Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$, then the following assertions are equivalent*

i) *T is B-Fredholm operator.*
ii) *T is Drazin invertible.*

For G a locally compact abelian group, let $L^1(G)$ be the space of $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on G integrable with respect to Haar measure and $M(G)$ the Banach algebra of regular complex Borel measures on G. We recall that $L^1(G)$ is a regular semi-simple Tauberian commutative Banach algebra. Then we have the following

Corollary 3.4. *Let G be a locally compact abelian group, $\mu \in M(G)$ and $X = L^1(G)$. Then every convolution operator $T_\mu : X \longrightarrow X$, $T_\mu(k) = \mu \star k$ is B-Fredholm if and only if is Drazin invertible.*

References

[1] P. Aiena, Fredholm and Local Spectral Theory, with Applications to Multipliers, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.
[2] M. Amouch, Weyl type theorems for operators satisfying the single-valued extension property, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326 (2007) 1476-1484.

[3] M. Amouch, Polaroid operators with SVEP and perturbations of property (gw), to appear in Mediterr. J. Math.
[4] M. Amouch and H. Zguitti, On the equivalence of Browder's and generalized Browder's theorem, Glasgow Math. J. 48 (2006) 179-185.
[5] C. Benhida, E. H. Zerouali and H. Zguitti, Spectral properties of upper triangular block operators, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 71 (2005) 681-690.
[6] M. Berkani, On a class of quasi-Fredholm operators, Integral Equations Operator Theory 34 (1999) 244-249.
[7] M. Berkani, Restriction of an operator to the range of its powers, Studia Math 140 (2) (2000) 163-175.
[8] M. Berkani, Index of Fredholm operators and generalization of a Weyl theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002) 1717-1723.
[9] M. Berkani and M. Amouch, Preservation of property (gw) under perturbations, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), In press (2008).
[10] M. Berkani and A. Arroud, Generalized Weyl's theorem and hyponormal operators, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 76 (2004) 291-302
[11] M. Berkani and A. Arroud, B-Fredholm and spectral properties for multipliers in Banach algebras, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 55 (2006) 385-397.
[12] M. Berkani, N. Castro and S. V. Djordjević, Single valued extension property and generalized Weyl's theorem, Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 131 (1) (2006) 29-38.
[13] M. Berkani and J. J. Koliha, Weyl type theorems for bounded linear operators, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 69 (2003) 359-376.
[14] B. P. Duggal, R. Harte and I. H. Jeon, Polaroid operators and Weyl's theorem, Proc. Amer. Soc. 132 (2004) 1345-1349.
[15] J. K. Finch, The single valued extension property on a Banach space, Pacific J. Math. 58 (1975) 61-69.
[16] H. G. Heuser, Functional Analysis. John Wiley and Sons 1982.
[17] M. Houimdi and H. Zguitti, Propriétés spectrales locales d'une matrice carrée des opérateurs, Acta Math. Vietnam. 25 (2000) 137-144.
[18] K. B. Laursen, Operators with finite ascent, Pacific J. Math. 152 (1992) 323-336.
[19] K. B. Laursen and M. M. Neumann, An Introduction to Local Spectral Theory, Clarendon, Oxford, 2000.
[20] D. C. Lay, Spectral analysis using ascent, descent, nullity and defect, Math. Ann. 184 (1970) 197-214.
[21] M. Mbekhta and V. Muller, Axiomatic theory of spectrum II, Studia Math. 119 (2) (1996) 129-147.
[22] E. H. Zerouali and H. Zguitti, On the weak decomposition property (δ_w), Studia. Math. 167 (2005) 17-28.
[23] H. Zguitti, A note on generalized Weyl's theorem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 316, (2006) 373-381.
[24] H. Zguitti, On the Drazin inverse for upper triangular operator matrices, preprint.

M. Amouch: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of science Semlalia, B.O : 2390 Marrakesh, Morocco.
E-mail address: m.amouch@ucam.ac.ma

H. Zguitti: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of science of Rabat, B.O : 1014 Rabat, Morocco.
E-mail address: zguitti@hotmail.com